Approved For Release 2004/05/05 : CIA-RDP78B05171A000600010039-1

NPIC/TSG/RED/SDB-030-70 21 August 1970

> 25X1 25X1

25X1

	MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD
	SUBJECT: Discussion of the Prototype 1540 Light Table with Representatives
25X1 25X1	l. On 18 August 1970, a meeting was held in
25X1 25X1 25X1 25X1	opened the meeting by stating that the had requested the meeting. He asked if had specific questions they wished to have answered. stated that he had questions on the short comings of their equipment:
25X1 25X1	a. In what ways were the systems and subsystems of the table deficient? How were they relative to the table?
	b. In the evaluation of the tables, was past per- formance of the companies rated? Did managerial, tech- nical, or any other factors influence the evaluation?
	c. He had questions on the equality of the evalu- ation. He stated he heard rumors about the evaluation.
25X1 25X1	d. Is thetable built to the same specifications as the table?
25X1	e. Was allowed to make more corrections to their table during the evaluation period?
25X1	f. Was the table over engineered, and if so, was this brought out at the critique?
25X1	out a quantitative evaluation and not a subjective one. Mr.
25X1 25X1	suggested that it might answer most of the questions if briefed on his evaluation of the "fixes" made to
	Approved For Release 2004/05/05 : CIA-RIDE 78 BIO510 1A000600010039-1

Approved For Release 2017 10 20 : CIA-RDP78B65 171A000600010039-1

25X1	SUBJECT: Discussion of the Prototype 1540 Light Table with Representatives	25X1 25X1
25X1 25X1	the table. gave the same briefing he gave the Executive Director, NPIC, on 4 August 1970. He stated that the deficiencies had been corrected with the exception of:	
	a. Excessive temperature at the film plane after4.5 hours operation with 2.0 density film.	
	b. Rate control of the carriage movement was still too high.	
	c. Dirt still got under the glass.	
25X1 25X1	4. said they would like to know what must be done to improve the equipment and make it competitive. stated we would be glad to discuss the	
25/1	shortcomings and corrections to thetable but would	25X1
25X1	tables. He explained that a time problem existed during the evaluation period, and the Center made an engineering judgment of which table came closest to meeting specifications and the PI needs. He stated that both tables did not totally meet specs, and that the shortcomings were reviewed with T&E and IEG, and the decision had to be made on a time basis. He stated that if the had initially contained all these "fixes" it could have influenced our decision.	25X1 25X1
25X1 25X1	5asked when the decision was made to go withsaid it would be difficult to answer since there are many decision points in our procurement cycle. This varies from the operational request to the executive sign off. He thought it might have occurred in	
25X1	June. stated that they had demonstrated some "fixes" in April and some in mid-June. He asked if the decision had been made prior to mid-June. stated that due to our procurement cycle, the decision had to be made prior to the end of June and technical and cost considerations both entered the picture. He said he would	25X1
	not hazard a guess if the present	25X1 25X1
25X1	the table, but they desired further features. The PIs felt they could not do this with the table.	25X1
	Approved For Release 004.05 05 : CIA-RDP78B05171A000600010039-1	

Approved For Release 2004/05/05 : CIA-RDP78505171A000600010039-1

SEGNET

	SUBJECT: Discussion of the Prototype 1540 Light Table with Representatives of the	25X1 25X1
25X1		
25X1 25X1	frequently for repairs. said he could not state how many times each company was in for repairs. He stated how many times were that a contractor would be allowed that the ground rules were that a contractor would cause the ter-	25X1
	that the ground rules were that a contractor would be that that the ground rules were that a contractor would cause the terin for repairs only if the malfunction would cause the terin for repairs only if the malfunction would cause the terin for repairs only if the malfunction would cause the terin malfunction of that 8 series mination of the TEE tests. There was very of PIs were used in the operation of the table was used in the withheld and only the operation of the table was used in the withheld and only the operation of the table was used in the	25X1
25X1		25X1
25X1	evaluation. table being used immediately. the table being used immediately.	25/1
23/1	stated that the film drives, the heat product stated that the film drives, the heat product tracking prevented its immediate use.	
25X1	stated that the over temperature 7.	
25X1	evaluation. stated this was not the evaluation. stated this was not the fault. In all cases, faults had dethe seriousness of the fault. In all cases, faults had dethe seriousness of the fault. In all cases, faults had dethe seriousness of the fault. In all cases, faults had dethe seriousness of the fault. In all cases, faults had dethe tailed rationales as to their seriousness. Heat in PI space stated that the alone did not reject the table. Stated this did not stated this did not	25X1
25X1	70mm tracking was a variable stated this did not	05)(4
25X1	+ tous! evaluation: The litst	25X1
23/1	occur initially at the plant. The life occur initially at the table was returned to	25X1
25X1	occur initially at the plant of the control of this was when the table was returned to knew of this was when the table was returned to stated that we have to after the critique. Stated that we have to after the critique. Stated that we have to after the table is in proper working order and ready assume that the table is in proper working order and ready for evaluation when it is delivered to us.	
j 05V4		25X1
25×1	g that they were not	
20/1	often during the T&E. stated stated stated	25X1
	two problem	25X1
25X1	Link had one recurred to the sales and number of	
25X1	areas.	
25X1	visits. He said worked on the Carriage was not allowed times when it stopped the tests, but that was not allowed to make other "fixes" during these visits.	25X1
1	LIACO STATE	
25X1	asked if any other evaluating sales asked if any other evaluating sales de-	
25X1	cision on the tables. cision on the tables. the evaluation for the Community through our Table and operational people.	
	Contract to the second of	
	Approved For Release 2004/05/05 CTA-RDP78B05171A000600010039-1	- La

Approved For Releas 2004105/05 : CIA-RDP78805171A000600010039-1

25X1	SUBJECT: Discussion of the Prototype 1540 Light Table with Representatives	25X1 25X1
25X1	a hold on the situation. He asked what it is about the	
25X1 25X1	table that is not satisfactory or is less satisfactory than the table. He said needed to learn what you are not happy with, and what makes the	25X1 25X1
25X1 25X1 25X1	table "less good". stated that this had been pretty well covered by telling what was wrong and by explaining the time frame.	
25X1	asked what does the Center prefer in a table beyond the specs explained that no one table could please every PI, but that the PI could not	25X1
25X1	possibly live with thetable as it was during the operational evaluation.	
25X1	12. stated that if they could have looked at the table prior to 4 May, certain "fixes" might	
25X1	have been made stated that they were provided a critique once the T&E had been completed, but that there had been some procrastination on Richards' part in responding to "fixes" to the problems highlighted at the critique.	
25X1	made clear at the critique. stated that the tracking problem was not stated this was not true, and that it was the number one item addressed on the	25X1
25X1	List of Fixes, dated 22 April. also stated that it was discussed at the critique. stated that the tracking and hunting problem had not been corrected in late June.	25×1
25X1	Center has thoroughly evaluated the tables. asked: "Can you compare the major areas of both tables so	25X1
25X1	knows where to improve their table?" stated that we have given you an evaluation of the short- comings of your table, and that a comparison of the tables is not needed.	25X1
25X1 25X1	past performance. stated that the green oil and the leaks could not have come at a worse time, but that we	
25X1	had accepted explanation of the method of overcoming	05.74
25X1	this problem. asked if the table had been over engineered and had too many frills.	25X1 25X1

Approved For Release 2004/05/05 : CIA-RDP78B05171A000600010039-1

SECRET Approved For Release 2004/05/05 : CIA-RDP78B05171A000600010039-1

25X1	SUBJECT: Discussion of the Prototype1540 Light	25X1 25X1
25X1 25X1	said he saw a picture of the table and thinks that had a more polished job. stated that the added items may have given the table added points	25X1 25X1 25X1
25X1 25X1	in the evaluation. stated that the overall outward appearance of the table was better.	
25X1	16. stated they are trying to answer for themselves the great difference in cost for the tables. Did frills contribute to these costs. stated that	25X1
25X1	there were many intangible differences between the tables. said intangibles only entered the PI evaluation not the T&E. Both tables basically met specs but that the evaluation had to be time oriented.	
25X1	17. asked why the purchase was all one buy if tables were required by a certain date. stated that this had been aired and discussed. If bould not have met the production schedule, there might have been a split order. He further stated that the T&E report will be distributed throughout the Community, and it will state that the fixes have been made to the table.	25X1 25X1 25X1
25X1	18. stated that one point has not	23/1
25X1	been coveredbuilt a prototype at a great loss, and a competitor was provided the information free. The competitor is rumored to have received 2 to 3 times as much money for the developmentstated this was not	25X1
25X1	true - that the amount spent on each contractor for total development was within He further stated that we were not buying competition. He also stated that would have to answer any questions on contracting.	25X1
25X1	19. emphasized that the results of this procurement by no means meant that we were terminating relationships with the We still had respect for and confidence in their capabilities and they would be given an opportunity to bid on future tasks. In	25X1
25X1	fact, had recently been solicited for a proposal on the High Intensity Tracking Light Source.	
25X1 25X1 25X1	20. said the only question he raises is evaluating the table without correcting the temperature problem. stated that the evaluating people realized the table does not ordinarily operate this hot.	

SECKET

Approved For Release 2004/05/05 : CIA-RDP78B05171A000600010039-1

25X1 25X1

25X1

25X1	SUBJECT: Discussion of the Prototype 1540 Light Table with Representatives
25X1 25X1	21. thanked the group for their time and information. reiterated that the T&E report on the tables and the corrections will go out to the entire Community.
	Chief, Systems Development Branch, RED
	Distribution: Original - Exec. Dir/NPIC 1 - C/TSG 1 - C/PPBS 1 - C/IEG 1 - C/ESD/TSG 1 - Route RED 1 - Contract File 1 - SDB Chrono